

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,716 08/05/2003		Joe Nahra	PC25266A	8934	
28880 75	90 09/16/2005		EXAMINER		
WARNER-LAMBERT COMPANY 2800 PLYMOUTH RD			BALASUBRAMANIAN, VENKATARAMAN		
ANN ARBOR,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1624	1624	
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		7						
		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/634	,716	NAHRA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examir	ner	Art Unit				
		Venkat	araman Balasubramanian	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con period for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum re to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nmunication. (30) days, a reply within the satutory period will apply and sly will, by statute, cause the satutory.	event, however, may a reply be tin statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on						
·	This action is FINAL .	2b) ☐ This action is	s non-final.					
=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims	·						
_		application		•				
•	I) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	i) Claim(s) is/are rejected. ') Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	8) Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	_		oquii omonii					
_	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by t							
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a clain ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
• •	application from the Internati	•	* **					
- 5	see the attached detailed Office acti	on for a list of the ce	rtified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (•	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date	or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTC)-152)			
3. Patent and Tr	ademark Office		7,					
TOL-326 (R		Office Action Sumr	mary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail D	ate 20050823			
					7.40			

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-14 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-14 drawn to a compound of formula I, wherein Y³ is C=O or CH₂, Y⁴ is N, Y⁵, Y⁶, Yⁿ are each C(R⁵), namely quinazoline core compound, composition and method of use, classified in class 544, subclasses 283 and others, class 514, subclasses 230.8 and others depending upon the preferred embodiments of other heterocyclic or heteroaryl groups.
- II. Claims 1-14 drawn to a compound of formula I, wherein Y³ is C=O or CH₂, Y⁶ and Y⁶ are each N, Y⁴ and Y⁵ are each C(R⁵), namely pyridopyrimidine core compound, composition and method of use, classified in class 544, subclasses 279, 315, 323 and others, class 514, subclasses 264.1 and others depending upon the preferred embodiments of other heterocyclic or heteroaryl groups.
- III. Claims 1, 4-6 and 9-16, drawn to a compound of formula I, Y³ is C=O or CH₂, Y⁴ Y⁵, Y⁶, Y³ are each C(R⁵) or N, namely various bicyclic heteroaryl core compound, composition and method of use, classified in classes various, subclasses various, class 514, subclasses various depending upon the Y⁴ Y⁵, Y⁶, Y³ choices and preferred embodiments of other heterocyclic or heteroaryl groups. If this groups is elected applicants should also elect specific Y⁴ Y⁵, Y⁶, Y³ choices.

Art Unit: 1624

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

As per MPEP § 803, there are two criteria for a proper requirement for restriction

between patentably distinct inventions:

(A) The inventions must be independent or distinct as claimed and

(B) There must be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is required.

Invention I, II and III are independent and distinct from each other because they

are directed to structurally dissimilar compounds with distinct Y⁴, Y⁵, Y⁶ and Y⁸ choices

that lack common core, namely, quinazolines core versus pyridopyrimidine core versus

bicyclotriazine versus bicyclopyridazine versus bicyclopyrimidine versus bicyclopyrazine

versus bicyclopyridinone core compounds. Consequently, the groups have different

classifications and require separate prior art searches. They can be made and used

independently. Art which may render obvious or anticipate one of the groups would not

necessarily do the same for the other group. For example prior art cited in the

Information Disclosure Statement may not be applicable to all the above groups. Each

can support a patent as the compounds of each group are capable of being utilized

alone not in combination with other members listed in the Markush group.

In addition, it is necessary to classify and search all the controlling cores

generically embraced in Group I, II and III along with various choices of heterocyclic ring

embraced variable groups. Such a search of all controlling cores would serious search

burden.

Art Unit: 1624

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention with variety of Y^4 , Y^5 , Y^6 and Y^8 substituents. See claims 9 and 10.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-8 and 11-16 are generic.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Art Unit: 1624

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

In view of distinct nature of each of the invention, the restriction is set forth in

writing.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be

addressed to Venkataraman Balasubramanian (Bala) whose telephone number is (571)

272-0662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from

8.00 AM to 6.00 PM. The Acting Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) of the art unit 1624

is James O. Wilson, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0661.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of

Art Unit: 1624

this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone

number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Page 6

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAG. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-2 17-9197 (toll-free).

Venbubaanan Balasubramanian
Venkataraman Balasubramanian

9/12/2005